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Cationic Group 4 metal complexes of the type $[\text{L}_2\text{MR}]^+$ generated by activation of the corresponding dialkyl compounds with $\text{B}(\text{C}_6 \text{F}_5)_3$ are active homogeneous catalysts for olefin polymerization.1 Weakly coordinating anions are required to minimize the ion-pairing interactions, which are crucial in determining the properties of the resulting polymeric materials and the characteristics of the polymerization processes. These counteranions frequently contain fluoroorganic moieties to reduce interactions by dissipating the negative charge and decreasing the nucleophilicity. However, $[\text{RB}(\text{C}_6 \text{F}_5)_3]^+$ groups can still be responsible for deactivation processes.

The carbon–fluorine bond is the strongest and least reactive bond found in organic molecules and its activation is a chemical challenge,2 whereas the boron–carbon bond is more reactive and $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5$ transfer to the cationic metal center is the most commonly observed deactivation pathway for $[\text{L}_2\text{MR}]^+[\text{RB}(\text{C}_6 \text{F}_5)_3]$ catalysts.3 Ziegler and co-workers recently reported calculations on different competing thermal deactivation pathways for the $[\text{L}_2\text{MR}]^+[\text{RB}(\text{C}_6 \text{F}_5)_3]$ ion pair.4

We previously reported5 Group 4 metal complexes with doubly silyl-amido-bridged chelating tridentate ligands. Complexes of this type, when activated with methyldialuminoxane (MAO), are efficient catalysts for ethene polymerization despite generating cationic species free of the alkyl group required for the insertion reaction. Similar observations were made for a related singly silyl-η-amido bridged zirconium dicyclopendienyl compound6 and for cationic Co5 species,7 which also show activity towards ethene polymerization.

We report herein the reaction pathways that are followed when the cationic complexes $[\text{Zr} \{ \text{C}_5 \text{H}_3 \{ \text{SiMe}_2(\eta^1-\text{NiBu}) \}_2 \}]^+ [\text{RB}(\text{C}_6 \text{F}_5)_3]$ ([“$\text{Zr}$”][“$\text{RB}$”]), R = $\text{CH}_3\text{Ph}$ (1), Me (2) are heated in the presence of triphenylphosphane. Using reported synthetic methods,8 we synthesized the cationic zirconium compounds 1 and 2 as barely soluble oils or oily solids by adding one equivalent of $\text{B}(\text{C}_6 \text{F}_5)_3$ to $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ solutions of the corresponding alkyl complexes $[\text{“Zr”}$] in sealed NMR tubes. The same products were observed when similar reactions were carried out on a preparative scale. Complexes 1 and 2 were thermally stable upon heating them in solution up to 80°C for several hours. However, the addition of one equivalent of $\text{PPh}_3$ to $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ solutions of 1 and 2 at room temperature gave a suspension of an insoluble solid, which upon heating at 80°C for several days afforded a mixture that contained compounds $[\text{“Zr”}$-$\text{F}$] (3) and $[\text{“Zr”}$-$\text{(C}_6\text{F}_5)$] (4). The insoluble solid was separated by filtration, suspended in fresh $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$, and heated at 80°C for 7 days to give compound 3.

Compounds 3 and 4 were generated by the transfer of $\text{F}$ and $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5$ groups, respectively, to the Zr atoms of the starting complexes although their formation and structure were only confirmed when they were obtained by alternative synthetic methods. So compound 3 was prepared by treating $[\text{“Zr”}$-$\text{(CH}_3\text{Ph)$]}$ with $\text{FSnPh}_3$9 in toluene at 80°C for 5 h; the product was characterized by elemental analysis and NMR spectroscopy.10 The resultant ($\text{PhCH}_2$)$\text{SnPh}_3$ was also identified by $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectroscopy [$^1\text{H}_\text{C}_\text{H} = 2.81$ ppm (1s + 2d, 2H), $^1\text{H}_\text{S}n(\text{Ph}) = -68, ^1\text{H}_\text{S}n(\text{Ph}) = -65$ Hz].

The reaction of the same benzyl complex with the Lewis acid $\text{Al}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ proceeded at 25°C with abstraction of the benzyl ligand to give the cationic zirconium complex, $[\text{“Zr”}$-$\text{(PhCH}_2\text{)}$]$\text{Al}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3]$, with simultaneous formation of a small amount of 4. Quantitative $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5$ transfer was completed after
12 h to give 4 as the unique reaction product, which was isolated as a crystalline solid.

The chemical shifts in the $^1$H NMR spectra for complexes 3 and 4 were identical to those observed for the same products isolated by thermal transformation of 1 and 2 in the presence of PPh$_3$, which demonstrates that they were formed by F and C$_6$F$_5$ transfer to the metal center, respectively (Scheme 1). A significant upfield shift of the signals arising from the o-fluorine atoms was observed in the $^19$F NMR spectrum of 4 ($\delta = 109.1 \text{ ppm}$) with respect to the corresponding signals observed for B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ ($\delta = 132.1 \text{ ppm}$). The molecular structure of 4 was determined by X-ray diffraction. The structure agrees with the spectroscopic data and shows clearly the C$_6$F$_5$ moiety coordinated to the metal center (see Supporting Information). The Zr coordination sphere is completed by the $\text{[C}_5\text{H}_3\text{SiMe}_2(\eta^1-\text{NtBu})_2\text{ZrMe]RB(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3]}$ ligand with no other groups bonded to the Zr center. The precision of this study was limited by the poor quality of the crystal set.

Formation of an alkyl-bridged ion-pair system, $^{[\text{3a}] - \text{Zr}^{-} \mu-\text{RB(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)$_3$})}$, could be responsible for the intramolecular B–C$_6$F$_5$ bond activation and the C$_6$F$_5$ transfer to the Zr center with elimination of the neutral borane, RB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_2$. This reaction is slow when the cationic complexes 1 and 2 are heated at 80°C for 7 days to give complex 4 (Scheme 1).

In the presence of a phosphane, a different deactivation process occurs by transfer of a p-fluoro substituent of one of the pentafluorophenyl borate rings to the Zr center. The decomposition of the zirconocenium cation $\text{[("Zr")}_2\text{F}^{-}]$ has been reported, and two possible pathways for fluoride transfer have been proposed although no experimental evidence of the reaction mechanism was given. A similar fluoride transfer was reported very recently for the reaction of the dinuclear hydride complex $\text{[(trac-(ebth))ZrH-(\mu-H)]}_2$ with B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$. The thermal fluoride transfer observed for complexes 1 and 2 may occur by nucleophilic addition of PPh$_3$ to the p-C–F bond of one of the electron-deficient C$_6$F$_5$ rings of the metal-coordinated alkylborate counteranion $\text{[RB(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)$_3$}]^{-}$ with immediate transfer of the generated fluoride to the zirconocenium cation to give 3. Supporting this proposal, we note that 4 is not converted into 3. In addition, we were able to isolate a colorless single crystal of the $\mu$-F complex $\text{[("Zr")}_2\text{F}^{-}]\text{[(PhCH}_2\text{)B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)$_3$]}$ from the mixture of compounds obtained when an equimolar mixture of 1 and PPh$_3$ was warmed at 50°C for 7 days. An X-ray diffraction study (Figure 1) revealed that 5 consists of a separate dinuclear cation, $\text{[("Zr")$_2$F]}^+$, and the free $\text{[(PhCH}_2\text{)B-(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)$_3$]}$ anion.

Further confirmation of this reaction pathway was obtained by the isolation of the zwitterionic compound $\text{[4-Ph$_3$P]^{-} [C}_6\text{F}_5\text{[BM(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)$_3$]}]$ from the mixture of 2 and PPh$_3$. Crystals of 6 suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained when equimolar amounts of 2 and PPh$_3$ were heated at 80°C for 7 days. The molecular structure of compound 6 (Figure 2) demonstrates...
that the triphenylphosphonium and the methylbis(pentafluorophenyl)borate fragments are the para-substituents of the resulting tetrafluorophenyl activated ring.\textsuperscript{[17]}

In summary, we have found experimental evidence to support a reaction pathway involving direct fluoride migration to the zirconium cation without previous transfer of C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}. It is noteworthy that the opening of the silyl–amido bridge was not observed in the course of these activations. Experiments with other donor ligands are in progress to investigate the conditions under which the ion pairs 1 and 2 may follow different decomposition routes and the possible mechanisms of these reactions.
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[8] a) H. NMR (300 MHz, C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}): \(\delta = 0.21, 0.33\) (2s, 2 \times 6H, SiMe\textsubscript{3}), 0.94 (s, 18H, N\textsubscript{2}Bu), not observed (BCH\textsubscript{3}), 6.31 (m, 1H, C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}), 6.48 ppm (m, 2H, CH\textsubscript{2}). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (75 MHz, C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}): \(\delta = 1.5\) (2 \times SiMe\textsubscript{3}), 34.8 (N=CMe\textsubscript{3}), 58.6 (N(CMe\textsubscript{3})), 127.5 (3 \times C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}), 136.5 ppm (4 \times C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}). \textsuperscript{19}F NMR (280 MHz, C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}): \(\delta = 132.1\) (m, 2F, o-C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 163.6 (m, 1F, p-C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 167.2 ppm (m, 2F, m-C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}). Elemental analysis (%) calcd: C 49.65, H 3.97, N 2.76; found: C 50.51, H 3.71, N 3.35.