Reaction of imines with N-iodosuccinimide (NIS): unexpected formation of stable 1 : 1 complexes†
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Imines react with N-iodosuccinimide (NIS) to afford unexpected 1 : 1 complexes and the structure of one of these was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction; the reaction seems to be very general for substituted cyclic imines with solid stable complexes obtained in high yields; this is the first reported example of a halogen bonding interaction involving the C=\text{N} bond and NIS.

As part of a project focussed on the preparation of a small library of derivatives of 1-phenyl-3,4-dihydropyrorol[1,2-\text{a}]pyrazine 1\text{a} we needed to prepare either the 6-bromo or 6-iodo derivatives as appropriate substrates for the Stille and/or Suzuki reactions.\textsuperscript{1} The reaction with NBS afforded the expected halogenated product 3 (Scheme 1) but under the same conditions, the reaction of 1\text{a} with NIS gave a yellow solid compound, the structure of which incorporates the iodo atom and the full heterocyclic and succinimide moieties—as evidenced by NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. Further structural analysis allowed us to rule out the co-halogenation compound\textsuperscript{2} and we therefore tried to confirm the structure of this apparently simple compound by X-ray analysis. However, all our initial attempts to obtain suitable crystals failed.

It was shown that the iminic bond in 1\text{a} seems to be critical for the formation of the unexpected reaction product with NIS, since 1-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrorol[1,2-\text{a}]pyrazine either does not react, or affords the 6-iodo derivative in the presence of NIS. For this reason, we decided to test the reaction with other imines in the hope that we could obtain an appropriate crystal for X-ray diffraction analysis. Fortunately, this aim was achieved in the reaction of 6,7-dimethoxy-1-phenyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (1\text{h}) with NIS, which allowed us to establish structure 2\text{a} for the reaction product between 1\text{a} and NIS. We report here further details on the scope of this unprecedented reaction (Scheme 2).

First, substitution at the C-1 position of 3,4-dihydropyrorol[1,2-\text{a}]pyrazine was explored with alkyl (methyl and n-propyl), aryl and heteroaryl substituents\textsuperscript{3} (Table 1, entries 2–7). Other related bicyclic and tricyclic imines such as 1-substituted 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines (entries 8 and 9) and 2-substituted 3,4-dihydropyrrozin[1,2-\text{a}]indoles (entries 10–12) were also employed as iminic substrates.\textsuperscript{4} The results are summarised in Table 1 and show the reaction to be very general for all aryl (Table 1, entries 4–6, 8, 10 and 12) and heteroaryl (entries 7 and 13) substituted substrates, with complexes being formed in yields of up to 64% and reactions completed within 1 h. The behaviour of alkyl substituted substrates depends on the heterocyclic imine and the nature of the alkyl group. For example, the reaction of 1-methyl-6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline 1\text{i} (entry 9) and 2-methyl-3,4-dihydropyrrozin[1,2-\text{a}]indole (1\text{k}) (entry 11) afforded the addition compounds 2\text{i} and 2\text{k} in excellent yields (86 and 89%, respectively). However, the methyl derivative 1\text{b} gave a complex mixture of products and the n-propyl derivative 1\text{c} was extensively iodinated on the n-propyl substituent.

Further examples with monocyclic imines,\textsuperscript{5} such as 2-substituted pyrrolines (entries 14–16), also showed similar behaviour to that found for 3,4-dihydropyrrol[1,2-\text{a}]pyrazines, with 2-methylpyrroline 1\text{o} also forming complex mixtures (entry 15) and 2-aryl-substituted derivatives leading to the expected compounds in good yields (entries 14 and 16). However, all of these complexes are less stable than those obtained from bicyclic imines. It is also worth

\begin{equation}
R = \text{Ar, Alkyl, Het}
\end{equation}
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\textsuperscript{†} Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and full characterization data for stable complexes 2, unknown imines 1 and intermediates. See DOI: 10.1039/b615183c
noting the successful reaction with the 1-aza-2-methoxycycloheptene (entry 17), although the formation of this complex it is not easy to reproduce.6

The study was completed by testing different acyclic ketimines and aldimines.7 The results show that only one of the ketimines tested (Table 1, entry 18) was reacted with NIS to afford the corresponding product, with a yield similar to those observed with cyclic imines.8 In this case the reaction is quite sensitive to water and anhydrous conditions are necessary to ensure acceptable yields. Under non-anhydrous conditions the corresponding ketone was isolated as the main reaction product. The attempted reaction of representative aldimines did not afford the expected addition products and the starting materials were recovered unaltered. Moreover, unsubstituted cyclic imines were also unreactive towards NIS under analogous conditions to those used with the imines selected for this study.

Crystals obtained from 1h and NIS allowed us to establish the structure of complexes 2 shown in Table 1. The X-ray crystal structure9 of complex 2h is shown in Fig. 1 and its solid structure is based on a non-covalent interaction between the iminic nitrogen and the iodine atom of the NIS. Only a few examples of this uncommon halogen bonding interaction10 involving oxygen11 and nitrogen atoms as donor atoms (Lewis base) and diiodoperfluorocarbons12 and 1,4-diiodotetrafluorobenzene13 as acceptors have been reported previously. In this case the iodine atom of the NIS reagent seems to be a Lewis acid hard enough to be involved in the formation of these unexpected and unprecedented complexes.

The co-crystal 2h shows bond lengths between the iodine and the iminic and succinic nitrogens being 2.486(2) and 2.120(2) Å, respectively,14 and these three atoms have an almost linear disposition [173.0(1)° for the angle N(2)–I(19)–N(20)]. Contrary to previously reported data for melting points of other complexes involving halogen bonding interactions,12,13 this complex (and all shown in Table 1) exhibits a lower melting point (112–114 °C) than those reported for the single components of the complex (200–202 °C for NIS and 121–125 °C for 1h). Also, 2h and the remainder of the complexes show a pale yellow colour, indicating a degree of change-transfer to the iminic chromophore.15

In general, IR and NMR spectra for these complexes show significant modifications when compared with those of the starting materials. As an example, in the 1H NMR spectra of the imine 1h, the aromatic protons for the phenyl substituent appear at δ 7.60 ppm (ortho hydrogens) and δ 7.42 ppm (meta and para hydrogens) while in the complex 2h these aromatic signals collapse in a non-resolvable multiplet at δ 7.49 ppm. Furthermore, H5 and H8 aromatic protons in 1h appear as a broad singlet centered at δ 6.76 ppm while the spectrum of 2h show two well-differentiated singlets at δ 6.49 ppm and δ 6.72 ppm for the same hydrogens. Some changes are also observed in the aliphatic region of the 1H

Fig. 1 X-Ray crystal structure of complex 2h. Thermal ellipsoids at 50% level are shown.

Table 1 Complexes 2 from imines 1 and NIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Imine (1)</th>
<th>Complex (2) yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1a: C₆H₅</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1b: CH₃</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1c: CH₂CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1d: 2,4-Me₂C₆H₃</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1e: 2,4-(MeO)₂C₆H₃</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1f: 3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1g:</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1h: R = C₆H₅</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1i: R = Me</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1j: C₆H₅</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1k: CH₃</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1l: 2,4-(MeO)₂C₆H₃</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1m:</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1n: C₆H₅</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1o: CH₃</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1p: 4-MeOC₆H₄</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1q:</td>
<td>73⁺,¹⁺</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 18    | 1r: X = N; R¹ = CHMe₂; R² = 2-pyridyl | 81⁺ equal to those observed with cyclic imines. In this case the reaction is quite sensitive to water
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NMR spectra of the imine 1h and NIS separately and in complex 2h. For example, the signal assigned to the CH2 in 3-position of 1h is shifted 0.2 ppm downfield (3.79 ppm in 1h and 3.98 in 2h) and the signal of the methylene hydrogens of the succinimide moiety in the complex also appears shifted 0.4 ppm when compared with the signal of these protons in NIS (δ 2.68 ppm and δ 3.01 ppm, respectively).

In the IR spectrum of 2h, all relevant bands for NIS and 1h are present along with some typical modifications12 affecting both alkyl and aromatic C–H stretching bands which are shifted to higher frequencies in the complex (selected bands at 3059, 2930 and 2828 cm⁻¹ for 2h and 3058, 2925 and 2828 cm⁻¹ for 1h).

In summary, a variety of substituted cyclic imines and some ketimines react with NIS to afford, in high yields, stable 1 : 1 complexes in which the iodine atom is linearly bonded to both iminic nitrogen and the iodine of NIS could behave as better iodination reagents than NIS itself.
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